# LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS K. CROWE, P.C. RECEIVED

2300 M STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037 (202) 973-2890 FAX (202) 973-2891 FAX (202) 293-3083 E-MAIL tkcrowe@aol.com

AUG 29 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

August 29, 1997

#### BY MESSENGER

William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Room 222
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re:

CC Docket No. 96-128; Exclusion of The Furst Group, Inc. from the List of Interexchange Carriers Required to Pay Interim, Flat-Rate Compensation

Dear Mr. Caton:

The Furst Group, Inc. ("TFG"), by its attorneys, respectfully requests that the Commission take whatever action may be necessary to clarify that TFG does not owe compensation under the interim, flat-rate compensation plan to payphone service providers ("PSPs"). Moreover, since TFG is currently being sued by PSPs for its refusal to pay such compensation at this time, TFG asks that the Commission address this matter on an expedited basis.

As you are aware, the Commission's September 20, 1996 Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-128 established an interim, flat-rate compensation mechanism under which PSPs would be compensated for the lost value of interstate access calls placed from the PSPs' equipment prior to the implementation of a per-call payment system. Under the interim mechanism, the Report and Order required that interexchange carriers ("IXCs") which earn \$100 million in annual toll revenues must pay compensation to the PSPs. While the exemption for small IXCs under this compensation mechanism has been ruled to be arbitrary and capricious by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit and remanded to the Commission for reconsideration, it currently

No. of Copies rec'd D44
List ARCDE

See In re Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Report and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 20541, 205601-20604 (1996) modified on recon., Order on Reconsideration, 11 FCC Rcd 21233, 21286-21294 (1996).

William F. Caton August 29, 1997 Page 2

remains in force pending further action by the Commission.<sup>2</sup>

The Report and Order included an appendix (i.e., Appendix F) listing each of the IXCs which had reported toll revenues exceeding \$100 million in 1995, and which are therefore obligated to pay compensation to the PSPs. TFG was included in this list in error. As TFG's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer attests in the affidavit included herewith as Exhibit A, while TFG reported to the Commission that it had operating revenues of \$109,314,287 for 1995, this figure included income from non-toll sources and was plainly not intended to serve as a statement of TFG's toll revenue.<sup>3</sup>

When TFG submitted its TRS Fund Worksheet to the Commission on April 19, 1996 (prior to the adoption of the Report and Order), TFG explicitly reported that it enjoyed gross revenues from its toll services totaling just \$99,473,832.00.4 Moreover, since this gross figure includes service fees, late payment fees, taxes, and other miscellaneous sources of company income, TFG has demonstrated to the Commission that it actually enjoyed direct toll revenues of \$90,928,354.90 in 1995.5 Under either measure of toll income, it is clear that TFG falls below the Commission's threshold.

It is therefore apparent that TFG was erroneously placed on the list of IXCs responsible for paying compensation to the PSPs under the interim, flat-rate compensation mechanism. Since discovering this problem, TFG has sent notification letters to the PSPs informing them that TFG does not in fact owe compensation since its actual toll revenue falls below the \$100 million threshold.

TFG is currently being sued by American Public Communications Council, Inc. ("APCC"), the agent of a group of PSPs, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia as well as by GCB Communications, Inc. ("GCB") in the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona for its refusal to pay their invoices for compensation. Notwithstanding TFG's assurances that a mistake has been made, both organizations have continued to press their lawsuits; indeed, APCC recently amended its complaint to seek a total of \$104,823.01 in payments, plus interest and legal fees. Moreover, additional similar suits could be filed against the company. Clearly, this matter has become quite serious, and the continued uncertainty concerning TFG's responsibility for paying PSPs is exposing TFG to considerable liability as well as legal expense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>See Pleading Cycle Established for Comment on Remand Issues in the Payphone Proceeding, Public Notice</u> (Aug. 5, 1997) at 1-2, n.3.

A copy of TFG's March 29, 1996 letter reporting its 1995 operating revenues to the FCC is included as Exhibit B.

A copy of TFG's 1996 TRS Report is included as Exhibit C.

A copy of TFG's June 14, 1997 letter informing the Commission of this data, and attaching a spreadsheet showing TFG's sources of toll revenue for 1995, is attached as Exhibit D.

William F. Caton August 29, 1997 Page 3

As a result of the foregoing, TFG respectfully requests that the Commission take appropriate action to clarify that TFG does not owe PSPs compensation under the Commission's interim payphone compensation mechanism, since its actual toll revenues fall beneath the Commission's threshold. Due to TFG's ongoing litigation with PSPs as well as the risk of additional lawsuits, TFG further requests that the Commission take such action on an expedited basis.

Please direct any questions concerning the foregoing to the undersigned.

400

Sincerely,

Thomas K. Crowe Michael B. Adams, Jr.,

Counsel for The Furst Group, Inc.

#### **Exhibits**

cc: Jeffrey L. Bockol Jill Papenhausen

## Exhibit A

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

State of New Jersey

County of BUNGLAGTOR

**\$\$**.

JEFFREY L. BOCKOL, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says:

- 1. I am the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Purst Group, Inc. ("TPG"), a New Jersey Corporation.
- On March 29, 1997, TFG reported to the FCC that it had total annual operating revenues
  of \$109,314, 287 for 1995. This sum combined both toll revenues and non-toll revenues
  received by TFG, and was in no way intended to serve as a statement of TFG's toll
  revenues.
- 3. As TFG informed the FCC in a letter dated June 14, 1997, TFG's direct toil revenues totaled \$90,928,354.90 in 1995. Inclusive of service fees, late payment fees, taxes, and other miscellaneous company income reported to the FCC, TFG enjoyed gross revenues of \$99,473,832.00 for its toll services in 1995. TFG's gross revenues for 1995 of \$99,473,832.00 were reported to the FCC when TFG submitted its TRS Fund Worksheet on April 19, 1996.
- 4. Under either measure, TFG did not have toil revenues equal to or in excess of \$100 million in 1995.

Hockol

Sworn and subscribed before me this

March of M.

outry Public

MARILYN A. GRIM
MY commission expline Commission (2004)

### Exhibit B



Merch 29, 1986

Ms. Katle Rangos Federal Certiflunications Commission 2035 M Street, NW Room 500 Mail Stop 1600F Washington DC 20554

RE: 1986 Armuel Report Stop Code 1600F

Deer Ms. Rangos:

This letter is to satisfy the annual reporting requirements for 1985. The Furst Group, Inc. had operating revenues of \$108,314,287 for 1985. The Furst Group, Inc. is a telecommunications reseller therefore there is no communications plant to report.

Please note that The Furst Group, Inc. does not submit a Form 10-K to the Securities and Exchange Commission and does not prepare an annual report to stockholders.

If you have any questions or need any additional information please call Jeffrey L. Bucket at ace-286-5000.

Treasurer

### Exhibit C

als 1: Company to the contract of the contract		708 ^		ppled by NECA 806508					
ak 1: Cartier identification		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
in Legal Meme of Carrier 16 Principal Communications Business (cf		hest c	eve	INC.					
LEC Cettuler	Mobile CSP	]cc □(	CAP [	Pay Telephana KReseller (Corer (sople					
Prepaid Card				,					
1c Helding Company	i								
1d Principal CIC code used for intereschar		<u> </u>							
2 Principal Business Name for Carrier		unst G	Bus l	AC .					
3 Complete Mailing Address of		caksmid		•					
Certier Corporate Headquarters	Sname		080	3 <b>3</b>					
4 Telephone # for Guetomer Incusties	1. 1900) -								
ook 2: Carrier Revenue Data for Cale	indar Year 1985	Note: Please re	port whele	dollars aven if amounts are rounded					
			Special						
<b></b>	Gross Revenues	% interelate	study	Interstata Americas					
<b>(4)</b>	(b)	(e)	(4)	(a) = (b) x (c)					
cal Cervicus									
5 Local contrarge service	\$  \$	*		8					
6 Local private the service 7 Mobile radio, deskip, paging & PCS	8	<del>                                     </del>		8					
Alternative account A other	\$	1 %		8					
ong Olemnos		<del></del>	·						
5 Introduction accepts	13 50 468 390	100 %		\$ 50 408.390					
10 Interesta access	18 20 706 GGG	0 %		8 0					
11 Operator service & Pay Telephone	8	<u> </u>		8					
12 Non-operator switched toll pervice	18	<u> </u>		8					
13 Long distance private line service	18	<u> </u>		\$					
14 All other long distance	18 28 248 776	3.		8 0					
15 Total Swe \$ though 14	18 44 473 332			18 50, 40 X 390					
lock 3: Calculation of Contribution	Note: Flores	sport whole doff							
	1000.								
16 Internate Reserves from Line 15e 17 Contribution Rate:				s 50 468 340					
18 Total CONTRIBUTION for April 18861	beneath March 1987: Non-16 v No	<b>▲ 17</b>		X . 0,00033					
[The minimum contribution is \$1		17		16,655					
19 Contribution to be paid this month:									
(Enter the amount from line 18 if it is	less than \$1200. Otherwise, the	contributor		* 1227.63					
they divide the amount on line 18 by Check here for monthly billing a		( controllions.)		1387,92					
DEK 4: CHRISTIANAN									
paget agreements of their contribution	rink (mixim) 4,5574, grak ( film) qu id in this weakshoot are the and	and and the large	gorig repor	t and to the best of my lateraledge, informalian Scumb statement of the sillate of the above m					
family fat the patient Japany 1, 199	Strough December 31, 1986.								
30 Printed Name of Officer	· ZEttuch T								
21 Popular with certain	- Vica Preside	nt - Fin	BVC6						
147 fran									
/ 23 June /	1. 4-19-96								
29 Contact Person		- Joan D. Cann							
26a Telephone Humber of Commot Pers		268 -1							
250 Complete Majling Address of Conta	# 159 Oak	shade Re	•						
[Filing information and the 1987 THE Po Worksheet will be sent to this address.]	Shamona	NI	08080	P					
		<del></del> -							
26 This filing is: Original filin		_		onal Information and NECA \$61-664-61					

CO. DT JEST

### Exhibit D



June 14, 1997

Federal Communications Commission Common Carrier Bureau ATTN: Michael Carowitz, Esquire 2025 M. Street NW Washington, DC 20554

#### Dear Michael:

I have enclosed The Furst Group. Inc. gross revenues for the year of 1995 as an addendum to my correspondence dated February 7, 1997, validating Furst's position that it aid not meet the financial requirements of the Federal Communications Commission ruling of November 8, 1996 (Docket 91-35) referenced in Appendix F, Interim Compensation Obligations, page 5. Federal Communications Commission DA 96-1666, regarding payphone compensation.

If you need additional information please don't hesitate to contact our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Jeffrey L. Backol, at 1-800-818-4736, extension 4346.

Thank you.

Sincerety.

Jili Papenhausen Corporate Director

Consumer & Government Affairs

	DA	INTRA	INTER	OFF	OVER	CC	800	FEE8	ADJ'S	TOTAL
81	5 664,592.26	5,712,478.85	14,601,178.74	667,435.32	1,828,787.69	785,343.10	974,045.77	1,741,386.82	(2,870,789.63)	24,194,458.82
62	3 <b>82,444</b> .29	4,117,044.18	9,094,319.75	799,884.55	2,142,183.86	50,885.07	635,559.57	1,957,440.48	(523,851.32)	18,655,913.43
62	1 10,900.29	69,961.27	245,683.25	11,229.30	31,513.84	5,998.21	85,811.05	25,956.58	(31,233.86)	455,609.11
622	19,981.41	169,839.58	518, <b>093.5</b> 3	40,509.61	76,267.33	6,586.62	16,328.78	104,391.42	(12,470.14)	939,528.14
623	521,442.23	5,419,118.93	12,049,074.71	836,405.B2	1,744,166.18	154,099.52	539,250.87	2,592,718.58	(1,740,188.22)	22,118,105.82
624	141,398.64	1,625,875.39	2,980,588.36	244,086.89	436,826.72	119,457.35	273,974.69	680,085.81	(891,429.86)	5,590,863.99
625	226,190.57	2,175,037.25	5,308,302.55	398,521.60	1,121,035.26	18,823.97	126,440.30	1,088,229.64	(390,632.11)	10,070,049.03
NT									·	
<b>677</b>	205,862.60	1,323,468.11	4,250,188.84	110,423.18	891,220.58	65,616.14	2,413,285.72	808,804.82	(963,043.23)	8,905,826.78
5	2,082,812.29	20,612,813.56	49,045,429.73	3,308,496.27	8,272,000.38	1,206,912.98	5,064,496.75	<b>8,778,99</b> 1.13	(7,423,598.17)	90,926,354.90

•

) i